

Fiscal impact reports (FIRs) are prepared by the Legislative Finance Committee (LFC) for standing finance committees of the NM Legislature. The LFC does not assume responsibility for the accuracy of these reports if they are used for other purposes.

Current FIRs (in HTML & Adobe PDF formats) are available on the NM Legislative Website (legis.state.nm.us). Adobe PDF versions include all attachments, whereas HTML versions may not. Previously issued FIRs and attachments may be obtained from the LFC in Suite 101 of the State Capitol Building North.

## FISCAL IMPACT REPORT

ORIGINAL DATE 01/21/05

SPONSOR Anderson LAST UPDATED \_\_\_\_\_ HB 249

SHORT TITLE Family Violence Prevention Program SB \_\_\_\_\_

ANALYST McSherry

### APPROPRIATION (dollars in thousands)

Appropriation		Recurring or Non-Rec	Fund Affected
FY06	FY07		
	\$36.0	Recurring	General Fund

(Parenthesis ( ) Indicate Expenditure Decreases)

### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

LFC Files

### SUMMARY

House Bill 249, "Family Violence Prevention Program" appropriates \$36 thousand from the general fund to the 2<sup>nd</sup> Judicial District Court for the purpose of funding two family violence prevention programs: the "Say No to Abusing Power," (SNAP) program and the "Program for the Empowerment of Girls," (PEG).

### FISCAL IMPLICATIONS

The appropriation of \$36.0 thousand contained in this bill is a recurring expense to the general fund. Any unexpended or unencumbered balance remaining at the end of fiscal year 2007 shall revert to the general fund.

### SIGNIFICANT ISSUES

It is not clear for what the requested funds would be used and what the expected outcomes of the program are.

### PERFORMANCE IMPLICATIONS

This program is already operated at the 2<sup>nd</sup> Judicial District and does not have associated performance measures.

Performance measures for the program expansion have not been proposed.

**ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLICATIONS**

Increasing the funding for this program will increase the responsibilities for the oversight for this program.

**WHAT WILL BE THE CONSEQUENCES OF NOT ENACTING THIS BILL**

Not enacting the bill will result the programs continuing as they are.

**POSSIBLE QUESTIONS**

1. For what specifically will the requested funds be used?
2. What are the expected outcomes of the proposed funding increases for the SNAP and PEG programs? How will these outcomes be determined?
3. What have the outcomes been so far during the programs' existence?
4. Are there personnel associated with the requested funds? How many?
5. What criteria will be used to determine whether to continue requesting funds for and/or continue managing these programs?
6. What, if any, other governmental agencies or community organizations are involved with this program?
7. Are the SNAP and PEG programs considered alternatives to juvenile detention or other juvenile consequences?

EM/yr